

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/559,898	12/06/2005	Leonard S. Aubrey	29143-84	2764
46591 7590 05/12/2008 NEXSEN PRUET, LLC P.O. BOX 10648			EXAMINER	
			KASTLER, SCOTT R	
GREENVILL	E, SC 29603		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/559.898 AUBREY, LEONARD S. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Scott Kastler 1793 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-31 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/21/07, 12/6/05

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/559,898 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-12, drawn to a degasser for molten metal.

Group II, claim(s) 13-24, drawn to a method of purifying molten metal.

Group III, claim(s) 25-31, drawn to an apparatus for purifying molten metal.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: They lack the same unity because the common feature of "a degasser" is known in the art. Yonezawa et al (JP 61 056257 A hereafter known as JP'257) discloses a degasser which includes a porous ceramic pipe. Inventions I-III lack the same or corresponding special technical feature, therefore unity of invention is lacking and restriction is appropriate.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Joseph T. Guy on March 20, 2008 a provisional election was made with oral traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 112. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Claims 13-31 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37

CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

An action on the merits directed to elected claims 1-12 follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/559,898 Page 3

Art Unit: 1793

Claim Objections

Claims 4and 5 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The above claims are not fairly further limiting upon independent claim 1 from which they depend for the following reasons:

- With respect to instant claim 4, since no actual critical metallostatic pressure is recited, any pressure would meet this limit, therefore any microporous plate meeting the requirements of independent claim 1 would also meet the limitations of claim 4.
- 2. With respect to claim 5, by definition a microporous plate includes passages (pores) through which gas passes. Therefore all microporous plates comprise passages of some type, rendering claim 5 no more limiting than independent claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 9, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bentz et al. Bentz et al teaches a degasser device including a microporous plate (7) permeable to gas but not to molten aluminum comprising an internal passageway (5) and an interface tube (4) attached to the plate and passageway where the degasser device is contained in a vessel (20) with

Application/Control Number: 10/559,898

Art Unit: 1793

a monitoring means (the valve in line 21) for monitoring gas flow, thereby showing all aspects of the above claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Piras et al in view of Bentz et al. Piras et al teaches a degasser system, in figure 1 for example, including a containment vessel (1), a filter (12) and plural degassers (13, 14) showing all aspects of the above claims except the specifically recited degasser structure. As applied to claim 1 above, Bentz et al teaches an improved degasser structure meeting all of the recited claim limitations for such a structure which provides improved service (see col. 1 lines 14-47 for example). Because improved degassing would also be desirable in the system described by Piras et al, motivation to employ the degassers (1) of Bentz et al as the degassers (13,14) of Piras et al would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1793

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/559,898 Page 6

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Scott Kastler/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

sk